

Team Being Reviewed: _____

Reviewer's Name: _____

PHYS 336 SPRING 2024
TEAM PRESENTATION PEER CRITIQUE

| Item | Rating | Brief Note About Rating |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| 1. Objective and scope of team clearly explained. | | |
| 2. Team members' contribution to project made clear. | | |
| 3. Team planning and details carefully outlined. | | |
| 4. Team's presentation level appropriate for audience. | | |
| 5. Slides/Poster accurate in terms of content. | | |
| 6. Slides/Poster visually appealing. | | |
| 7. Presentation accurate in terms of physics and ethics content. | | |
| 8. Presentation organized in a logical manner. | | |
| 9. Expected project outcomes were clear. | | |
| 10. Conclusions based on project outcomes were valid and reasonable. | | |
| 11. Presentation gave appearance of completeness. | | |
| 12. Presenter responded effectively to audience questions and comments. | | |

Additional comments that may be useful to team should be written on the back of this sheet.

The rating scale utilized is a 1 – 5 Likert scale with the scheme shown below as a guide:

- 5 – Exceptional presentation
- 4 – Above average presentation
- 3 – Average presentation
- 2 – Below average presentation
- 1 – Several notable lapses in presentation norms